Intranasal oxytocin increases facial expressivity, but not ratings of trustworthiness, in patients with schizophrenia and healthy controls
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Background. Blunted facial affect is a common negative symptom of schizophrenia. Additionally, assessing the trustworthiness of faces is a social cognitive ability that is impaired in schizophrenia. Currently available pharmacological agents are ineffective at improving either of these symptoms, despite their clinical significance. The hypothalamic neuropeptide oxytocin has multiple prosocial effects when administered intranasally to healthy individuals and shows promise in decreasing negative symptoms and enhancing social cognition in schizophrenia. Although two small studies have investigated oxytocin’s effects on ratings of facial trustworthiness in schizophrenia, its effects on facial expressivity have not been investigated in any population.

Method. We investigated the effects of oxytocin on facial emotional expressivity while participants performed a facial trustworthiness rating task in 33 individuals with schizophrenia and 35 age-matched healthy controls using a double-blind, placebo-controlled, cross-over design. Participants rated the trustworthiness of presented faces interspersed with emotionally evocative photographs while being video-recorded. Participants’ facial expressivity in these videos was quantified by blind raters using a well-validated manualized approach (i.e. the Facial Expression Coding System; FACES).

Results. While oxytocin administration did not affect ratings of facial trustworthiness, it significantly increased facial expressivity in individuals with schizophrenia ($Z = -2.33, p = 0.02$) and at trend level in healthy controls ($Z = -1.87, p = 0.06$).

Conclusions. These results demonstrate that oxytocin administration can increase facial expressivity in response to emotional stimuli and suggest that oxytocin may have the potential to serve as a treatment for blunted facial affect in schizophrenia.
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Introduction

The negative symptoms of schizophrenia include reduced emotional expression in the face, voice and body, poverty of speech and thought, anhedonia, and reduced motivation and social interest. The most prominent form of blunted emotional expressivity is blunted facial affect (Tremeau et al. 2005; Blanchard & Cohen, 2006). It is commonly present early in the course of the disorder, even before the development of frank psychotic symptoms, and typically continues into the chronic phase of the illness after psychotic symptoms are successfully treated with antipsychotic medications (Gur et al. 2006). Indeed, analysis of childhood home movies of people who later developed schizophrenia and their unaffected siblings reveal subtle abnormalities in facial expressivity many years before the onset of overt illness (Walker et al. 1993). People with schizophrenia have reduced facial expressivity during social interactions and when viewing emotional films (Berenbaum & Oltmanns, 1992), and have difficulty consciously amplifying their facial expressions to emotional stimuli (Kringer & Moran, 2008). Furthermore, blunted facial affect has been linked with worse quality of life and functional outcomes in individuals with schizophrenia (Gur et al. 2006). Despite its clinical relevance,
current pharmacological treatments are ineffective at remediating blunted affect in schizophrenia (Green, 2016).

Deficits in social cognition are also prominent in schizophrenia (Foussias et al. 2015) and are strong predictors of functional outcomes (Green, 2016). One example of a disrupted social cognitive function that is important for proper social functioning in schizophrenia is the assessment of trustworthiness in faces (Winston et al. 2002). Studies investigating trustworthiness ratings in schizophrenia have produced mixed results with patients rating faces as more (Baas et al. 2008), less (Pinkham et al. 2008) or identically trustworthy to control subjects (Couture et al. 2008). These conflicting findings may be partially explained by the influence that affective information has on trustworthiness judgments. A recent study showed that individuals with schizophrenia rate faces as less trustworthy than healthy controls only after negative affective primes (i.e. negatively valenced evocative photographs shown immediately before the face), but not after neutral or positive primes, and that lower trust ratings were associated with greater severity of feelings of suspiciousness and persecution (Hooker et al. 2011). Despite the clinical relevance of disruption of social cognition in general, and ratings of facial trustworthiness in particular, there are no currently available pharmacotherapies for these deficits in schizophrenia or in any disorder.

There is growing interest in using the neuropeptide oxytocin to treat both the negative symptoms and social cognitive deficits of schizophrenia. Interestingly, blunted affect has rarely been studied as the focus of treatment in schizophrenia – perhaps due to an underlying assumption that it may not be malleable (Pinkham et al. 2008). This is in contrast to negative symptoms and various social cognitive deficits, which have been the focus of a number of studies in schizophrenia, particularly those using oxytocin. For example, several longitudinal clinical trials have found that oxytocin administration can decrease negative symptoms measured by a semi-structured interview like the Positive and Negative Syndrome Scale [PANSS; for a review, see Feifel et al. (2016); but see Horta de Macedo et al. (2014); Weiser et al. (2015); Dagani et al. (2016)]. However, due to the limitations of these measures (e.g. only one of seven questions in the PANSS negative subscale concerns blunted affect and is not limited to facial affect) and the small sample sizes involved, no study has reported on effects of oxytocin specifically on blunted affect. On the other hand, intranasal oxytocin administration has been shown to improve multiple aspects of social cognition, such as theory of mind, in schizophrenia (Pedersen et al. 2011; Woolley et al. 2014) (however, see Cacciotti-Saija et al. 2015) and one small study found a non-significant trend for a single dose of oxytocin to decrease trustworthiness ratings of untrustworthy faces in individuals with schizophrenia (Pedersen et al. 2011) (though a second small study found no effect; Gibson et al. 2014). In sum, despite the interest in oxytocin as a treatment for schizophrenia, significant uncertainty remains regarding which symptoms and deficits, if any, are affected by oxytocin administration.

Blunted facial affect and social cognitive deficits such as disrupted assessment of facial trustworthiness are thought to be related to different underlying neural circuit dysfunction. In particular, blunted affect has been linked to amygdala hyperactivity and weaker prefrontal cortex (PFC)-amygdala coupling, while deficits in ratings of facial trustworthiness have been linked to hypo-activity of multiple regions including the amygdala, ventrolateral PFC, superior temporal sulcus and fusiform face area (Baas et al. 2008a; Pinkham et al. 2008). Despite the lack of studies focusing on oxytocin effects on blunted affect, emerging evidence suggests that oxytocin may specifically normalize the neural circuitry dysfunction that is believed to underlie blunted facial affect. For example, oxytocin administration decreases amygdala activity during presentation of fearful faces and strengthens amygdala–PFC coupling at rest in healthy individuals and those with schizophrenia (Kirsch et al. 2005; Sripada et al. 2013; Shin et al. 2015). Thus, in the current study, we simultaneously investigated the effects of a single dose of oxytocin on blunted affect and ratings of facial trustworthiness, two functionally distinct impaired domains in schizophrenia that are due to dysfunction in distinct neural circuits.

We used a well-validated paradigm of facial trustworthiness and objectively quantified ratings of facial expressivity in response to the standardized emotionally evocative stimuli presented in the task. We included matched healthy individuals in our study to determine the specificity of any oxytocin effects to individuals with schizophrenia. Using a double-blind, placebo-controlled, cross-over study design, we video-recorded participants while they rated the trustworthiness of faces that were immediately preceded by emotionally evocative primes. We then quantified the frequency of positive and negative valence facial expressions displayed in these videos in response to the affective primes using an objective manualized coding system validated for use in individuals with schizophrenia (Kring & Sloan, 2007). By having participants rate facial trustworthiness while being video-recorded, we could simultaneously examine the effects of schizophrenia and oxytocin on facial trustworthiness ratings and facial expressivity while
also maximizing consistency between subjects and study sessions. Indeed, the use of standardized stimuli and objective behavioral outcome measures may overcome many of the substantial limitations of more classic semi-structured interview-based symptom scales (Cohen et al. 2008). We hypothesized that oxytocin administration would increase facial expressivity in response to emotionally evocative photographs irrespective of valence in individuals with schizophrenia and in healthy controls. Additionally, we hypothesized that oxytocin would normalize the accuracy of ratings of facial trustworthiness in individuals with schizophrenia, particularly improving trust ratings after negative affective primes, but not after positive or neutral primes.

Method

Participants

A total of 33 individuals with a schizophrenia spectrum disorder (SZ; 26 schizophrenia; six schizo-affective; one schizoaffective) were recruited from across the San Francisco Bay Area and 35 age-matched healthy controls (HC) were recruited through online advertisements. Healthy participants had no Axis I Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, 4th edition (DSM-IV) disorder within the last year or a lifetime history of a psychotic disorder. All participants had no neurological disorders or substance dependence within the last 6 months and had a negative urine toxicology test at each visit. All diagnoses were verified by the Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-IV (First et al. 2002). Patients were on a stable dose of psychiatric medications for at least 1 month and throughout the study. Written informed consent was obtained from each participant in accordance with the University of California, San Francisco Committee on Human Research.

Procedures

Testing was performed in a randomized, double-blind, cross-over design, with the two testing days separated by at least 1 week. On each test day, oxytocin (40 IU; Novartis, Switzerland) or matched placebo was self-administered via nasal spray by alternating insufflations every 15 s between each nostril over a 5-min time-frame (Feifel et al. 2010). On each testing day, participants completed behavioral testing and were simultaneously video-recorded. Previous work has shown that intranasal oxytocin administration begins to have physiological effects within 30 min and lasts for at least 90 min (Norman et al. 2011). Therefore, in the current study, behavioral testing began 60 min post-administration and continued for no longer than 120 min. For further justification of dosage and timing, see the online Supplementary material.

Social Judgment Task (SJT)

In the SJT, participants rate the trustworthiness of faces after the presentation of an affective `prime’ photograph just prior to presentation of the face photograph (for detailed methods, see Fig. 1, online Supplementary material; Adolphs et al. 1998; Hooker et al. 2011). Participants viewed black and white photographs of 49 unfamiliar male and female faces in natural poses, each presented three times paired with three different priming images (negative, neutral, and positive), taken from the International Affective Picture System (IAPS), for a total of 147 trials. Priming images were randomly assigned to faces and the face-prime pairs were presented in a fixed, pseudo-random order, such that none of the faces appeared twice in a row. Priming stimuli appeared: –3 (very untrustworthy) to +3 (very trustworthy). Task instructions emphasized that the prime photographs and faces were not related, and that the participant was to rate only the trustworthiness of the faces. Participants were asked to imagine trusting the person in a very serious situation (e.g. with all their money or with their life). Two versions of the SJT with different faces and priming images were used for each testing day and order was randomized between subjects. Previous work demonstrated that the faces used on each test day did not differ in ratings of trustworthiness (Hooker et al. 2011). Prior to performing the task, participants were given a set of seven practice trials providing an opportunity to familiarize themselves with the task and raise any questions they might have.

Facial expressivity coding

Facial expressivity was quantified using videos of participants while they completed the SJT (video length depended on how fast each participant completed the task: range: 8–24 min, mean = 13.11, s. d. = 3.13 min). Video-recording during this paradigm minimized experimental heterogeneity as all participants had similar experiences (e.g. seeing the same photographs) without any variability due to an interviewer being present during the task. Furthermore, having a behavioral task that required active input from participants encouraged participants to maintain focus on the computer screen throughout the task and minimized any self-consciousness about being video-recorded. While the SJT has never been used to
study facial expressivity before, the IAPS pictures that are part of the SJT have frequently been used to elicit facial expressivity in multiple populations including patients with schizophrenia (e.g. Wolf et al. 2005; Peterman et al. 2015). Thus, the SJT is an efficient method to simultaneously study facial expressivity in response to emotionally evocative stimuli and judgments about facial trustworthiness.

Videos were coded independently by four raters using the Facial Expression Coding System (FACES; Kring & Sloan, 2007), a behavioral coding system validated for use in schizophrenia that is based on a two-dimensional model of emotion, where each emotion varies on both valence (positive/negative) and intensity (weak/intense). FACES ratings have been correlated with facial muscle activity, individual-difference measures of expressiveness and personality, skin conductance, heart rate, and reports of experienced emotion (Kring & Sloan, 2007). FACES has also been used with and validated on participants with schizophrenia (Aghevli et al. 2003; Kring & Sloan, 2007). Coders were blind to diagnostic status, drug condition, and to results of the behavioral task. Inter-rater reliability for FACES is very high ($r = 0.70–0.99$) when coded by trained experimenters, and FACES ratings converge with ratings using Ekman’s Rating System for Facial Expressions (Ekman & Friesen, 1976). The intra-class correlation between our two sets of two raters was calculated across participants. There were no significant differences between the ratings between raters, and therefore composite scores are taken from total frequency of expressions (Kring et al. 1994). Because the variance due to coders is not ignored, the coefficient can be interpreted as an index of agreement rather than consistency (Shrout & Fleiss, 1979). Our inter-rater reliability for FACES was excellent, with an average intraclass correlation coefficient of 0.92 for the schizophrenia group and 0.90 for the controls.

**Symptom severity**

To assess the positive and negative symptoms of schizophrenia, a subset ($n = 23$) of patients were rated by trained raters using the PANSS (Kay et al. 1987). A limited number of patients were administered the PANSS because this measure was not implemented until later in the study.

**Medications**

In order to better describe our patient population, we calculated benztropine and chlorpromazine equivalents for patients using a standardized conversion table (Andreasen et al. 2010).

**Statistical analyses**

We plotted variable distributions to examine skewness and kurtosis and tested normality using the Shapiro–Wilk test, and identified potential outliers using stem-and-leaf and box plots. We found no outliers for the normally distributed data. We then examined group differences in demographic variables using independent-samples $t$ tests and $\chi^2$ tests.

Our primary outcome of interest was frequency of participants’ facial expressions (total, negative, and positive) during the SJT. Because video lengths varied with speed of completing the task, we calculated the number of expressions (defined by the face changing...
from neutral to non-neutral expression and then back to neutral) per 5-min interval (i.e. number of expressions divided by number of 5-min intervals, e.g. six expressions over 15 min would yield two expressions per 5-min interval). Our inter-rater reliability for our primary outcome of interest (frequency of facial expressions) was excellent, with an average intraclass correlation coefficient ≥ 0.90 (see the online Supplementary material for details). We conducted non-parametric tests to model non-normally distributed data (i.e. lack of any facial expression, particularly for the SZ group, leading to a preponderance of zeroes). Differences in frequency of facial expressions were examined using the Wilcoxon signed-rank test and the Mann–Whitney U test. We calculated oxytocin-induced changes in total facial expressivity (i.e. frequency of facial expressions on the oxytocin day – placebo day), then examined differential effects of oxytocin on facial expressivity by group. We also examined within-subject changes in facial expressivity by drug and emotional valence (positive and negative), within and between groups. We adjusted for multiple comparisons in our analyses using Benjamini and Yekutieli (B-Y) corrections for family-wise error (Narum, 2006) (see the online Supplementary material).

In addition to our primary outcome, we also examined average duration per expression and average intensity per expression. For these analyses, we excluded participants who had zero expressions on at least one of the testing days. We used the non-parametric Wilcoxon signed-rank test to examine within-subject differences and the Mann–Whitney U test for between-subject differences.

We also examined effects of oxytocin and affect priming on ratings of trustworthiness during the SJT. We performed a 2 × 3 × 2 mixed factorial analysis of variance (ANOVA) with drug (oxytocin, placebo) and priming (negative, neutral, positive) as within-subjects factors and group (SZ, HC) as the between-subjects factor. We followed up significant interactions with simple-effects ANOVAs to examine the group effect and tests of within-subject factors to examine the priming effect. Because of previous findings that oxytocin had a specific effect on ratings of untrustworthy faces (Pedersen et al., 2011), we also examined the effect of oxytocin on ratings of trustworthiness specifically for facial stimuli, irrespective of the affect priming photographs, that were rated as low, average or high trustworthiness by a separate healthy sample (Adolphs et al., 1998). We performed a 2 × 3 × 2 mixed factorial ANOVA with drug (oxytocin, placebo) and normative trustworthiness ratings (low, average, high) as within-subjects factors and group (SZ, HC) as the between-subjects factor.

Results

Demographic data

Demographic and descriptive variables are presented in Table 1. Groups were well matched on age. HCs had significantly more years of education than individuals with SZ. There was a higher proportion of non-Hispanic Caucasians in the HC group.

Group differences in facial expressivity during placebo

There was no difference in placebo-day expressivity between non-Hispanic Caucasians and the other ethnic groups (p = 0.27) in the HC group, therefore we did not include ethnicity in any subsequent analyses. Consistent with extensive literature on blunted affect in SZ (Kring & Elis, 2013), SZ participants displayed fewer total (both negative and positive valence) facial expressions while viewing emotionally evocative pictures compared with HCs on the placebo day (Fig. 2; p’s < 0.01).

Effects of oxytocin on facial expressivity

The distributions were non-normal (see Table 2 for descriptions of the means and standard deviations). Based on the B-Y method, for our primary analysis, we had three comparisons and set the new α to 0.027. Compared with placebo, oxytocin administration significantly increased the frequency of total facial expressions in SZ (Z = −2.33, p = 0.02) and non-significantly in HC (Z = −1.87, p = 0.06) participants (Fig. 2a). There were no differences between groups for oxytocin-induced increases in total facial expressivity (U = 568, Z = −0.11, p = 0.91). Given that video length depended on how fast participants completed the task, we examined relationships between video length and our outcome measures. We found that video length did not have an effect on our outcome measure nor did oxytocin have an effect on video length (p’s > 0.05).

In our secondary analyses, we had seven comparisons and based on the B-Y method set the new α to 0.019. We found that oxytocin significantly increased the frequency of negative valence facial expressions in SZ (Z = −2.67, p < 0.008), but not in HC (Z = −1.18, p = 0.24), and had no significant effect on the frequency of positive expressions in either group (Fig. 2b). Similarly, we found that oxytocin-induced increases in facial expressivity for negative valence expressions were non-significantly greater than for positive expressions in patients with SZ (Z = −2.05, p = 0.04). The SZ and HC groups did not differ in oxytocin-induced changes in negative (U = 512, Z = −0.83, p = 0.41) or positive (U = 548, Z = −0.37, p = 0.71) valence expressions.
Of the participants, 23 of the 33 individuals with SZ (70%) and 12 of the 35 HC (34.3%) had zero expressions on at least one testing day. Only examining the subsample of participants that had at least one expression on both testing days, we found that oxytocin did not have an effect on average duration per expression in SZ [mean: oxytocin: 2.04 (S.E. = 0.51) v. placebo: 2.38 (S.E. = 0.58); \( p = 0.95 \)] or HC [oxytocin: 1.96 (S.E. = 0.18) v. placebo: 1.95 (S.E. = 0.19); \( p = 0.73 \)]. Additionally, oxytocin did not have an effect on intensity per expression in SZ [oxytocin: 1.27 (S.E. = 0.13) v. placebo: 1.50 (S.E. = 0.22); \( p = 0.15 \)] or HC [oxytocin: 1.31 (S.E. = 0.05) v. placebo: 1.35 (S.E. = 0.06); \( p = 0.56 \)]. SZ did not differ from HC on these measures on the placebo day (\( p's > 0.4 \)). For this subset of subjects with intensity and duration data for both days, the frequency of negative expressions was still significantly greater while on oxytocin than placebo for SZ (\( Z = -2.24; \ p = 0.03 \), but the total and positive expressions were not (\( p's > 0.07 \)).

### Trustworthiness ratings during SJT

The 2×3×2 ANOVA revealed a main effect of prime (\( F_{2,57} = 26.49, \ p = 0.001, \eta^2 = 0.31 \)) and a prime × group interaction (\( F_{2,55} = 7.97, \ p = 0.001, \eta^2 = 0.12 \), Fig. 3). Pairwise comparisons revealed that in both groups, and across drug conditions, negative primes were associated with significantly lower trust ratings than were neutral or positive primes. Trust ratings between positive and neutral primes, however, did not differ. We then examined the prime × group interaction using simple-effects ANOVAs, comparing trust ratings between the SZ and HC groups averaged across drug administration day, followed up by within-subjects contrasts to examine the prime effect within each group. Looking separately by group, the main effect of prime was significant in both SZ and HC (\( p's < 0.001 \)). There were no significant group differences, however, in any of the prime conditions (\( p's > 0.38 \); Fig. 3). Within-subject contrasts revealed that in both groups, negative primes were associated with significantly lower trust ratings than in both the positive and neutral primes (\( p's < 0.001 \)), while trust ratings during the positive and neutral primes did not differ (\( p = 0.67 \)). There was no significant main effect for drug (\( F_{2,58} = 0.40, \ p = 0.53 \)), nor were there any significant drug × prime (\( F_{2,57} = 1.89, \ p = 0.16 \)), drug × group (\( F_{2,58} = 0.68, \ p = 0.42 \)), or drug × prime × group (\( F_{2,57} = 1.04, \ p = 0.36 \)) interactions. Finally, the 2×3×2 ANOVA in which faces were separated by their normative ratings revealed no significant effect of drug (\( F_{2,58} = 0.13, \ p = 0.72 \)) or group (\( F_{2,58} = 1.16, \ p = 0.29 \)) nor any significant drug × trustworthiness (\( F_{2,57} = 1.72, \ p = 0.18 \)) or drug × trustworthiness × group (\( F_{2,57} = 0.89, \ p = 0.42 \)) interactions. Although we used two different forms, there were no version effects between them.

#### Effects of oxytocin on duration and intensity

Of the participants, 23 of the 33 individuals with SZ (70%) and 12 of the 35 HC (34.3%) had zero expressions on at least one testing day. Only examining the

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Demographic and clinical information</th>
<th>Schizophrenia patients (n = 33)</th>
<th>Healthy controls (n = 35)</th>
<th>( p )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Demographics</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, years</td>
<td>42.9 (10.1)</td>
<td>42.0 (13.2)</td>
<td>0.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range</td>
<td>23–61</td>
<td>20–64</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean education level, years (S.D.)</td>
<td>13.3 (2.1)</td>
<td>15.4 (1.9)</td>
<td>0.001**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>30 (90.9)</td>
<td>33 (94.3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>3 (9.1)</td>
<td>2 (5.7)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diagnosis*, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schizophrenia</td>
<td>26 (78.8)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schizo-affective</td>
<td>6 (18.2)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schizophreniform</td>
<td>1 (3.0)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On a mood stabilizer**, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Race, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caucasian</td>
<td>9 (27.3)</td>
<td>19 (54.3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>African</td>
<td>8 (24.2)</td>
<td>6 (17.1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>American</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latino/Hispanic</td>
<td>3 (9.1)</td>
<td>5 (14.3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian American</td>
<td>11 (33.3)</td>
<td>5 (14.3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Native</td>
<td>1 (3.0)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>American</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>1 (3.0)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean clinical symptoms (S.D.) (n = 23)*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>15.4 (3.6)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>14.7 (5.0)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General</td>
<td>30 (7.2)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quality of life</td>
<td>24.4 (7.2)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medication equivalents</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cogentin</td>
<td>0.8 (1.6)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range</td>
<td>0–7.5</td>
<td>–</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chlorpromazine</td>
<td>389 (345)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range</td>
<td>0–1655</td>
<td>–</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


* Based on the Positive and Negative Symptom Scale for DSM-IV administered by trained clinical interviewers.

** Mood stabilizers include depakote, lithium and lamictal. \( ** p < 0.01. \)
for either the prime or trustworthiness conditions ($p$'s $> 0.3$).

**Manipulation checks and exploratory analyses**

While we found some small significant effects of the order in which oxytocin was administered, these effects did not influence our results for facial expressivity or facial trustworthiness when order was included as a covariate in the model. SZ had significantly greater frequency of positive expressions on the first day ($p = 0.04$) and HC had significantly higher positive, negative and total expressions on the first day ($p$'s $< 0.02$). For facial trustworthiness, there were no significant order effects. Given the small number of females in our sample and the possibility of sex-specific effects of oxytocin, we re-ran all analyses excluding women. Excluding women did not change any of the results.

Our blinding procedure was adequate for SZ such that their guesses when they received oxytocin did not differ from chance (SZ: 60.7%, $p = 0.26$, $\chi^2 = 1.29$), but for HC their guesses were significantly worse.
than chance (HC: 32.3%, $p = 0.05, \chi^2 = 3.90$). SZ and HC significantly differed in their percentage of accurate guesses ($p = 0.03, \chi^2 = 4.80$). There were no reported side effects from oxytocin for either SZ or HC.

In exploratory analyses, we conducted Spearman correlations between facial expressivity and clinical symptoms and anticholinergic and antipsychotic dosages in the schizophrenia group. We found that oxytocin-induced increases in negative and total expressivity were negatively correlated with positive and general symptoms as well as antipsychotic dosage (for details see the online Supplementary material).

**Discussion**

We found that a single intranasal dose of oxytocin significantly increased facial expressivity in response to emotionally evocative photographs in individuals with schizophrenia and non-significantly in healthy controls. Although the number of expressions was relatively small, this is consistent with other studies using FACES to code expressions in schizophrenia and healthy controls (Kring & Neale, 1996). This increase in frequency of facial expression was not associated with a change in the duration or intensity of each expression, although this needs to be interpreted with caution given the small number of participants in this analysis. Similarly, we found a non-significant trend for oxytocin to increase negative valence expressions more than positive valence expressions in the schizophrenia group. This is an intriguing and surprising finding that needs to be interpreted with caution given the trend-level significance. Our results suggest that oxytocin may be an effective pharmacological agent to improve blunted facial affect, a core symptom of schizophrenia, that impairs social interactions (Kring & Moran, 2008) and quality of life (Gur et al. 2006). Given that currently available pharmacotherapies for schizophrenia are generally ineffective for blunted affect and have significant negative side effects (for a review, see Tsapakis et al. 2015), this is an exciting and important discovery.

In the current study, showing emotionally evocative photographs immediately before presenting a photograph of a face altered ratings of facial trustworthiness, consistent with previous studies, although we did not replicate any group differences in this effect (Hooker et al. 2011). Oxytocin administration did not significantly alter ratings of facial trustworthiness in individuals with schizophrenia or in healthy controls. Previous studies investigating the effects of oxytocin on facial trustworthiness ratings in healthy individuals have been inconsistent, with some reporting that oxytocin enhances facial trustworthiness (Kosfeld et al. 2005), but others finding no effect (Lambert et al. 2014). We also failed to replicate the one study that found an effect of oxytocin on facial trustworthiness in schizophrenia (Pedersen et al. 2011) but did replicate the lack of effect found in Gibson et al. (2014). Given the lack of group differences on trustworthiness ratings in the current study, we could not determine whether oxytocin normalized trustworthiness ratings in...
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schizophrenia. In our study, we used a higher dosage of oxytocin, presented different stimuli, interspersed faces with affective primes, and had an older subject sample than previous studies, all of which may have contributed to any discrepant results.

The mechanisms by which oxytocin increases facial expressivity are unknown. Oxytocin may increase facial expressivity by increasing PFC–amygdala coupling. In schizophrenia, oxytocin may modulate the aberrant overstimulation of the limbic network that is thought to underlie blunted facial affect. For example, severity of blunted facial affect correlates with amygdala hyperactivity during identification of fearful faces (Gur et al. 2007) and during rating of the emotional valence of facial expressions (Lepage et al. 2011). Furthermore, resting-state functional magnetic resonance imaging reveals that weaker amygdala–PFC coupling correlates with higher ratings of flat affect (Anticevic et al. 2012). Evidence from neuroimaging studies on healthy subjects supports this hypothesis: a single administration of oxytocin decreases amygdala activity and strengthens PFC–amygdala coupling at rest and during the presentation of fearful faces (Kirsch et al. 2005; Sripada et al. 2013). Similarly, a single dose of oxytocin has been found to decrease amygdala hyperactivity in individuals with schizophrenia during viewing of emotional faces (Shin et al. 2015). Thus, this potential mechanism could explain how oxytocin can increase expressivity in both individuals with schizophrenia and healthy controls.

Another possibility is that oxytocin may amplify individuals’ subjective experience in response to emotional cues, possibly through increasing empathy (Barraza & Zak, 2009; Cohen & Minor, 2010), thereby modulating facial expressivity. Because individuals with schizophrenia with and without blunted affect typically have normal or even heightened subjective reports of emotional experience (Berenbaum & Oltmanns, 1992; Sweet et al. 1998; Kring & Elis, 2013), and individuals with schizophrenia have been found to have dissimilarities between emotional expression and emotional experience (Sweet et al. 1998), blunted affect is probably not due to abnormalities in subjective emotional experience. Additionally, few studies have found clear effects of oxytocin on subjective experiences of emotion (Abu-Akel et al. 2014; Gibson et al. 2014), making this hypothesis less likely.

Finally, oxytocin may increase facial expressivity by directly altering parasympathetic regulation of facial musculature, without affecting emotion processing or subjective experience in response to emotional cues. This hypothesis is supported by evidence that oxytocin can affect parasympathetic tone in humans (Gamer & Büchel, 2012) and rodents (Michelini et al. 2003). In order to disambiguate these hypotheses, future studies should investigate the effects of oxytocin administration on simultaneously measured behavioral, subjective, autonomic and neural responses to emotional stimuli.

Our study has several limitations. First, our study had a relatively small sample size and used a single dose of oxytocin. We also had a very small number of female participants, which prevented us from determining if there were any sex-specific effects of oxytocin in these paradigms. Second, we did not measure subjective emotional responses in the current study, because such subjective reporting can decrease the intensity of induced emotions (Taylor et al. 2003). Thus, we do not know if oxytocin only affects facial expressivity or if it also has effects on subjective emotional experience. Third, our study design prevented us from addressing whether individuals with schizophrenia are less accurate in their trustworthiness ratings or whether oxytocin can improve the accuracy of their trustworthiness ratings. Fourth, raters may have been able to identify who were the individuals with schizophrenia in the videos, thereby breaking the blind. However, facial expressivity differences between individuals with schizophrenia and controls were not the focus of the current study, and even if raters could tell the patients from the controls, this would not affect our findings of oxytocin effects on facial expressivity. Fifth, despite being worse than chance, healthy controls may have been able to tell which drug they were on, which could have altered the findings. However, previous studies have found that subjects usually cannot tell which drug they are on (Woolley et al. 2014), which suggests that our blinding was adequate and the guessing patterns were spurious. Sixth, the duration of oxytocin’s effect after intranasal administration is unknown and was not addressed in the current study. However, this and other studies suggest that oxytocin has effects for at least 2 h. Finally, we did not synchronize the measurement of facial expressivity to the emotional valence of the photographs and there were low frequencies of expression in some individuals. Thus we cannot determine whether any increase in facial expressivity was congruent or incongruent with the valence of the evocative images. Similarly, expressions were scored in terms of frequency and valence, but not with regard to specific emotions. Thus, it remains unclear whether oxytocin increased the ability to facially express specific emotions, or simply increased general expressivity. This distinction is critical because increases in expressivity, in the absence of control or regulation, may not necessarily be adaptive, particularly among individuals that are vulnerable to dysregulated emotion such as those with schizophrenia. Longer treatment trials in larger samples, and with additional...
autonomic and neural functioning measures, will be necessary to fully elucidate the effects of intranasal oxytocin on emotional expressivity and emotional functioning in people with schizophrenia. Our approach for eliciting and measuring facial expressivity has several advantages over traditional semi-structured Likert-type symptom rating scales. First, our approach does not require trained clinical interviewers, although it does require trained FACES coders (and coding can be performed at any time after the assessment). Furthermore, with improvements in technology, automated coding of facial expressivity will soon be available (Scherer et al. 2014). Second, our approach minimizes variability that could be introduced during even a structured interview (e.g., differences between assessors in style, appearance, personality, etc.). Indeed, in our study, all participants saw the same standardized stimuli under the same conditions. Third, from a psychometric perspective, symptom-rating scales are not ideal because they often employ vague rating systems (e.g., ‘mild’, ‘moderate’ and ‘severe’ categories) (LuKoff et al. 1986) that may be insensitive to subtle changes in the frequency of facial expressivity especially in response to emotional stimuli (Eckert et al. 1996). On the other hand, FACES is an objective rating system that is closely tied to individual behaviors, i.e. coding the presence or absence of each facial expression, as opposed to more gestalt ratings in typical symptom-rating scales. Finally, having participants completing an active behavioral task during the presentation of evocative stimuli allows for participant engagement in the task to be verified and measured. In the current study, we found that oxytocin increases facial expressivity both in individuals with schizophrenia and in healthy controls. This is similar to many commonly used treatments for psychiatric disorders that have similar positive effects when tested in ‘healthy’ individuals. For example, subchronic administration of selective serotonin reuptake inhibitor antidepressants to healthy individuals has been found to increase cooperative tendencies, increase confident behaviors, induce a positivity bias in emotion processing, and decrease negative affect related to negative events (Serretti et al. 2010). Similarly, the acetylcholinesterase inhibitor donepezil commonly used in Alzheimer’s disease can improve aspects of memory in healthy individuals (Repantis et al. 2010). The lack of specificity of oxytocin’s effect on facial expressivity may suggest that oxytocin is not remediating a specific deficit in schizophrenia. On the other hand, it is also possible that blunted affect exists on a continuum with healthy facial expressivity and that there is not a distinct neural mechanism underlying blunted affect beyond what would be expected from extreme lack of expressivity. Combined with how well tolerated oxytocin has been in individuals with schizophrenia, even when administered over 4 months (Busnelli et al. 2016), our results suggest that oxytocin administration may be a way to safely enhance emotional expressivity in anyone, including individuals with blunted affect.
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