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Abstract

Rationale There has been an explosion of research on the potential benefits of the social neuropeptide oxytocin for a number of mental disorders including substance use disorders. Recent evidence suggests that intranasal oxytocin has both direct anti-addiction effects and pro-social effects that may facilitate engagement in psychosocial treatment for substance use disorders.

Objectives We aimed to assess the tolerability of intranasal oxytocin and its effects on heroin craving, implicit association with heroin and social perceptual ability in opioid-dependent patients receiving opioid replacement therapy (ORT) and healthy control participants.

Methods We performed a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, within- and between-subjects, crossover, proof-of-concept trial to examine the effects of oxytocin (40 international units) on a cue-induced craving task (ORT patients only), an Implicit Association Task (IAT), and two social perception tasks: the Reading the Mind in the Eyes Task (RMET) and The Awareness of Social Inference Test (TASIT).

Results Oxytocin was well tolerated by patients receiving ORT but had no significant effects on craving or IAT scores. There was a significant reduction in RMET performance after oxytocin administration versus placebo in the patient group only, and a significant reduction in TASIT performance after oxytocin in both the patient and healthy control groups.

Conclusions A single dose of intranasal oxytocin is well tolerated by patients receiving ORT, paving the way for future investigations. Despite no significant improvement in craving or IAT scores after a single dose of oxytocin and some evidence that social perception was worsened, further investigation is required to determine the role oxytocin may play in the treatment of opioid use disorder.

Introduction

Opioid use disorder is an increasingly prevalent condition characterized by aversive withdrawal symptoms, the inability to control intake, intense cravings triggered by environmental cues, and social dysfunction and isolation (Koob and Le Moal 1997; De Vries and Shippenberg 2002; Schulteis et al. 2000). In the USA alone, over two million people abuse opioids, with over 450,000 addicted to heroin, and heroin use has nearly doubled over the past 10 years as prescription opioid abusers move toward street heroin (NIDA 2012). Further, currently available pharmacological and psychosocial treatments are marked by high relapse rates (Gossop et al. 1989, 2002). Given the prevalence and morbidity of opioid use disorder, new treatment options are desperately needed (Veilleux et al. 2010).
Emerging evidence supports the potential benefit of acute administration of intranasal oxytocin (a naturally occurring hypothalamic neuropeptide) in the treatment of psychiatric illness, including autism (Hollander et al. 2007), social anxiety disorder (Guastella et al. 2009), schizophrenia (Feifel et al. 2010), and substance abuse (Sarnyai and Kovács 2014). However, no published study has examined the effects of oxytocin in opioid-dependent individuals. We aimed to target patients receiving opioid replacement therapy (ORT) with methadone or buprenorphine. These long-acting opioid agonists reduce withdrawal symptoms and craving without producing the intense euphoria of short-acting opioids such as heroin (Raisch et al. 2002). As oxytocin can decrease opioid tolerance in animals (Kovács et al. 1985a; Kovačs et al. 1985; Kriván et al. 1992), we must first determine if oxytocin is safe to administer concurrently with ORT in humans. If oxytocin is safe when given with ORT, oxytocin’s ability to block opioid tolerance may actually increase the therapeutic effects of ORT, and ultimately reduce ORT dosages and the negative side effects they induce, which include fatal respiratory depression, bradycardia and arrhythmias, and hypotension.

A growing body of preclinical and clinical evidence suggests that oxytocin also has direct anti-addiction effects in both animal models and humans with substance use disorders. For example, central oxytocin infusion reduces heroin self-administration (Kovács et al. 1985b) and opioid withdrawal (Samyay and Kovács 1994) in rodents. Oxytocin also has inhibitory action on dopamine signaling in addiction-relevant brain regions such as the nucleus accumbens, suggesting that oxytocin has the potential to reduce the rewarding properties of drugs of abuse (Samyai and Kovács 1994; Kovačs et al. 1998). Given the increasing evidence that substance abuse “hijacks” the brain’s reward system responsible for adaptive social behaviors (Liu et al. 2010), oxytocin may put a “brake” on some of the long-term habit forming properties of drugs of abuse and instead promote the formation of adaptive social relationships (Samyai and Kovács 2014; Carson et al. 2013; McGregor et al. 2008). As previous studies have linked implicit associations (i.e., unconscious and automatic) with opioids to relapse (Marhe et al. 2013; Robinson and Berridge 2001), it would be useful to investigate if oxytocin shifts associations away from opioid-related stimuli, in addition to more direct measures of tolerance, withdrawal, and craving. Taken together, these studies suggest that oxytocin administration may have the potential to reduce opioid tolerance, withdrawal symptoms, and the hardwired associations with opioids that contribute to the persistent craving and susceptibility to relapse experienced by opioid-dependent individuals.

In addition to anti-addiction effects, oxytocin has been widely studied for its beneficial role in social perception (Gordon et al. 2011; Meyer-Lindenberg et al. 2011), which is impaired in individuals with substance use disorders and is a key target for psychosocial intervention (Mueller et al. 2009). For example, individuals with opioid use disorder have difficulty reading emotional facial expressions (Kornreich et al. 2003) and are more inclined to perceive others as less friendly (Haertzen and Hooks Jr 1969) using laboratory measures. During a 60-day inpatient trial, heroin self-administration over 10 days was related to significant reductions in social interaction with other participants, which returned to baseline after detoxification (Babor et al. 1976). This is clinically important because, for individuals in recovery, social isolation directly increases craving for heroin (Stein et al. 2007). Furthermore, family conflict significantly predicted drug use during treatment for patients entering a rehabilitation program and reduction in family conflict was associated with a reduction in drug use; however, upon admission, 54% of patients reported having no social contacts at all and, thus, were not included in this analysis (Knight and Simpson 1996).

Thus, social deficits are a risk factor for, as well as a consequence of, substance use disorders. It is not surprising then that the treatment of disrupted social networks is an important mechanism of action of 12-step programs and is often integrated into ORT treatment programs through individual and group psychotherapy (Kelly et al. 2009). However, there are currently no pharmacological interventions to improve social perception in patients with substance use disorders. As oxytocin has been shown to improve multiple aspects of social functioning, including emotion recognition (Domes et al. 2007), oxytocin may improve social abilities in patients with opioid use disorder. This could facilitate their engagement in psychosocial treatments and help them develop social support systems critical to maintaining sobriety.

In the current study, we conducted a proof-of-concept pilot study to examine the effects of a single dose of intranasal oxytocin versus placebo in individuals with a history of heroin addiction currently receiving ORT and demographically matched healthy participants. The aims of the study were as follows: (a) to investigate the tolerability of administering a single dose of oxytocin concurrently with ORT, and (b) to explore the effects of intranasal oxytocin on our a priori endpoints of opioid craving, implicit associations with opioids, and social perception (ClinicalTrials.gov, NCT01728909). Our ultimate goal was to determine if intranasal oxytocin has potential as an adjunct treatment for opioid use disorder.

**Methods**

**Subjects**

Thirty-six male patients with a history of intravenous heroin use were recruited from the San Francisco Veterans Affairs Medical Center (SFVAMC) ORT clinic, and 34 healthy control participants were recruited from the San Francisco Bay Area via Craigslist. The University of California, San...
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**Craving**

**Cue-induced craving (patients only)** This task assesses heroin craving in response to a 1-min video of documentary footage from “Black Tar Heroin: The Dark End of the Street” (Okazaki 1999) depicting the use of black tar heroin (needle preparation, cooking, intravenous injection), as exposure to drug-related cues have been shown to trigger craving in individuals with heroin, cocaine, and alcohol use disorders (Shi et al. 2008; Bonson et al. 2002; Monti et al. 1987). Participants completed a two question visual analog craving scale to evaluate both “craving” for heroin and “urge to use” heroin at five time points: before study drug administration, 45 min following study drug administration (i.e., directly before cue exposure), directly after cue exposure, 3 min following cue exposure, and again at the end of the study (~135 min following study drug administration). We measured change in craving in response to study drug administration and video cue exposure. Positive “after cue–before cue” scores indicate cue-induced craving.

**Implicit association**

**Implicit Association Test** A modified Implicit Association Test (IAT) was used to assess participants’ implicit self-identification with opioids (Greenwald et al. 2009). Participants categorized pictures and words as fast as possible using the e and i keys on a standard PC keyboard, which corresponded to category labels depicted on the upper left (for e) and upper right (for i) portion of the screen. Pictures were either opioid-related images or neutral tools, and words were either self-identifying (e.g., “me,” “my”) or other-identifying (e.g., “other,” “their”). Scores of implicit associations between words and images are calculated with the assumption that participants respond more quickly and with fewer mistakes when the picture and word sharing the same response key are more strongly associated (Greenwald et al. 2009). Negative IAT scores indicate that participants self-identify with opioid imagery, and positive scores indicate that opioid imagery is associated with “others.” Implicit self-identification with alcohol cues has been shown to predict alcohol craving (Lindgren et al. 2014), and positive implicit associations with heroin cues have been shown to predict heroin relapse (Marhe et al. 2013). Furthermore, implicit associations may be a more reliable measure of attentional bias toward drugs than self-reports (utilized elsewhere in our protocol), which are vulnerable to reporting biases (Lane et al. 2007).
Social perception testing

**Reading the Mind in the Eyes Test** The Reading the Mind in the Eyes Test (RMET) measures the ability to label the mental state of others based on viewing the eye region of faces making subtle affective expressions and choosing from four options the best word or phrase to describe what each person is thinking or feeling (Baron-Cohen et al. 2001; Bora et al. 2009). All participants completed the 28-item child version of the RMET in which the language used in multiple-choice options has been simplified compared with the standard version to minimize IQ effects on performance. Studies have shown that oxytocin administration can improve RMET performance in both healthy (Domes et al. 2007) and autistic individuals (Guastella et al. 2010). Identical stimuli were used on both testing days.

**The Awareness of Social Inference Test III** In the Awareness of Social Inference Test (TASIT) (Pearson Education, New York, NY), participants make social inferences after viewing video clips of actors engaging in social scenarios of various types and complexity. TASIT-III is composed of 16 video clips, all of which entail a message that is literally untrue. Half of the clips depict a “white lie,” where the main speaker attempts to hide information from another character, and the other half depict a sarcastic exchange, where the literal message is contrary to the actual message emphasized by the main speaker through paralinguistic and contextual cues. At the end of each clip, participants answer four yes/no questions regarding the speaker’s true beliefs, intentions, and emotional state. TASIT-III requires complex processing to decode speakers’ thoughts and intentions and thus measures high-level social cognition and theory of mind (Shany-Ur et al. 2012). Scores range from 0 to 64 questions correct. Two validated alternate forms were used, and order was randomized between test days.

**Data analysis**

**GEE analyses** For tasks that measured outcomes repeatedly within participants (i.e., RMET and TASIT), we used generalized estimating equations (GEEs) to accommodate for correlations among the observations originating from the same participant. Binomial family GEEs were used to account for dichotomous (correct/incorrect) responding of the outcome variables, and unstructured correlation matrices were used for all analyses. For RMET, we included group (patient vs. control) as a between-subjects factor and drug (oxytocin vs. placebo) as a within-subjects factor. For TASIT-III, we included group (patient vs. control) as a between-subjects factor, and drug (oxytocin vs. placebo) and inference (lie vs. sarcasm) as within-subjects factors. To account for order and practice/fatigue effects, we included trial number and drug administration day as covariates in both models.

**Parametric analyses** For other tasks and self-report measures that met assumptions for normality (i.e., OAWS and IAT), we used repeated-measures ANOVAs to compare differences in oxytocin effects within and between groups. For the OAWS, we ran separate repeated-measures ANOVAs for withdrawal and agonist scores with drug (oxytocin vs. placebo) as the within-subjects factor and group (patient vs. control) as the between-subjects factor, and also used one-way ANOVAs to compare group differences at baseline. Drug administration day was included as a covariate in both models.

**Nonparametric analyses** Because the scales for craving and subjective drug effects violated assumptions of normality, we used nonparametric analyses. We used the Wilcoxon signed-rank test to examine within-subject differences in craving and subjective drug effects and the Mann–Whitney U test to examine between-group differences in subjective drug effects.

Additionally, chi-squared tests were performed to determine if participants could determine which drug (oxytocin or placebo) they received on each day, with expected values set to a chance level of 50% (MacDonald et al. 2011). Participants were asked which drug they believed they received at the end of each test day.

All statistical tests were performed using SPSS Statistics version 22 (IBM, Armonk, NY).

**Results**

**Demographics**

For demographic information, see Table 1. Of the 36 recruited patients, two were removed from the study because they stopped receiving ORT after the first testing session, and one was excluded because he received placebo on both test days due to a pharmacy error. Of the 34 healthy control participants recruited, one was excluded because he tested positive for methamphetamine after his initial screen.

**Tolerability assessments**

**Opioid agonist and withdrawal scale** There were no main effects of group [withdrawal $F(1, 60)=1.04$, $p=0.31$; agonist $F(1, 60)=0.56$, $p=0.46$] or drug [withdrawal $F(1, 60)=0.98$, $p=0.33$; agonist $F(1, 60)=0.02$, $p=0.89$] on OAWS change scores (i.e., the change in score from before to 90 min after study drug administration) (Table 2). There was also no group by drug interaction. Prior to study drug administration, patients experienced significantly greater withdrawal [$F(1, 62)=12.64$, $p=0.001$], but not agonist [$F(1, 62)=0.009$,...
symptoms compared to healthy controls when averaging ratings from both test days.

**Visual analog scale for drug effects** In patients, there were significant increases in perceived “bad” \( [Z = -2.19, p = 0.029] \) and “high” \( [Z = -1.97, p = 0.049] \) drug effects following oxytocin administration compared with placebo (Table 2). For healthy controls, changes in perceived drug effects did not differ between oxytocin and placebo test day. Before study drug administration, patients experienced greater subjective drug effects compared with healthy controls for all items on the visual analog scale for drug effects (V ASD) scale when averaging ratings from both test days: overall “drug effects” \( [Z = -2.92, p = 0.004] \), “good effects” \( [Z = -8.87, p < 0.001] \), “bad effects” \( [Z = -1.96, p = 0.050] \), “like” \( [Z = -4.32, p < 0.001] \), “sick” \( [Z = -2.12, p = 0.034] \), and “high” \( [Z = -2.38, p = 0.017] \).

**Craving**

**Cue-induced craving (patients only)** Our video cue reliably induced craving on both placebo [craving: \( Z = -2.76, p = 0.006 \); urge: \( Z = -3.25, p = 0.001 \)] and oxytocin [craving: \( Z = -3.48, p = 0.001 \); urge: \( Z = -3.25, p = 0.001 \)] test days. Oxytocin had no effects on cue-induced craving when compared with placebo [“after cue”–“before cue” craving ratings: craving: \( Z = -0.55, p = 0.58 \); urge: \( Z = -0.58, p = 0.56 \); “before spray”–“pre-video” craving ratings: [craving: \( Z = -0.99, p = 0.32 \); urge: \( Z = -0.49, p = 0.63 \) (Fig. 2).

Table 1 Demographic characteristics of patient and healthy control groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Demographics</th>
<th>ORT patients ((n = 33))</th>
<th>Healthy controls ((n = 33))</th>
<th>Two-tailed (t) test ((p) value)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(n) %</td>
<td>(n) %</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caucasian</td>
<td>19 (58)</td>
<td>18 (55)</td>
<td>0.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>African American</td>
<td>9 (27)</td>
<td>9 (27)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic</td>
<td>4 (12)</td>
<td>2 (6)</td>
<td>0.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other race</td>
<td>1 (3)</td>
<td>4 (12)</td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uses tobacco</td>
<td>18 (55)</td>
<td>7 (21)</td>
<td>(&lt;0.01**)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean SD</td>
<td></td>
<td>Mean SD</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>57.97 (8.88)</td>
<td>51.91 (7.35)</td>
<td>(&lt;0.01**)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education (years)</td>
<td>12.73 (2.08)</td>
<td>15.53 (1.58)</td>
<td>(&lt;0.0001***)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SD standard deviation
**\(p<0.01\); ***\(p<0.001\)

Table 2 Mean tolerability change \((\Delta)\) scores from prior to study drug administration to 90 min after study drug administration

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tolerability</th>
<th>ORT patients</th>
<th>(p) value</th>
<th>Healthy controls</th>
<th>(p) value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Placebo</td>
<td>Oxytocin</td>
<td>Placebo</td>
<td>Oxytocin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OAWS (\Delta)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Withdrawal</td>
<td>-0.041</td>
<td>-0.048</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.037</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agonist</td>
<td>-0.077</td>
<td>-0.118</td>
<td>-0.068</td>
<td>-0.058</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VASD (\Delta)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall</td>
<td>-0.015</td>
<td>0.031</td>
<td>0.213</td>
<td>0.123</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good</td>
<td>-0.092</td>
<td>-0.038</td>
<td>0.284</td>
<td>0.232</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bad</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.046</td>
<td>0.029*</td>
<td>0.111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Like</td>
<td>-0.118</td>
<td>-0.088</td>
<td>0.662</td>
<td>0.060</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sick</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>0.027</td>
<td>0.191</td>
<td>0.059</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
<td>-0.034</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>0.049*</td>
<td>0.057</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Scores for oxytocin and placebo test days were compared with repeated-measures ANOVAs for the opioid agonist and withdrawal scale (OAWS) and the Wilcoxon signed-rank test for the visual analog scale for drug effects (VASD). OAWS items were rated on a five-point Likert scale and averages were taken for both withdrawal and agonist symptoms. There were no significant group, drug, or group \( \times \) drug effects observed. VASD scores were based on percentage of 10 cm visual analog scale. For patients, oxytocin significantly increased perceived “bad” drug effects and feeling “high.” For healthy controls, oxytocin had no effect on VASD change scores.

\(p<0.05\)
Implicit association

**Implicit Association Test** On the placebo test day, patients showed no association in either direction [mean IAT score = 0.034, SE = 0.072], whereas healthy control participants showed a slight association between heroin imagery and other-identifying words [mean IAT score = 0.22, SE = 0.058]. However, there were no significant effects of group [F(1, 63) = 2.57, p = 0.11] or drug [F(1, 63) = 2.66, p = 0.11], nor any group by drug interaction [F(1, 64) = 0.98, p = 0.33].

Social perception testing

**Reading the Mind in the Eyes Test** There was a main effect of group such that healthy controls performed significantly more accurately on the task than did patients [β = 0.39, SE = 0.04, p < 0.001]. There was also a main effect of drug [β = 0.20, SE = 0.04, p < 0.001] and a group by drug interaction [β = −0.17, SE = 0.05, p = 0.001], indicating that oxytocin significantly decreased RMET performance, though only among patients. Pairwise comparisons confirmed that patients performed significantly less accurately following oxytocin administration than they did following placebo administration [β = −0.05, SE = 0.01, p < 0.001]. Patients: [mean RMET % correct(SD)], placebo [65.96(10.81)] versus oxytocin [60.71(11.44)], p = 0.005. Healthy controls: placebo [69.91(12.69)] versus oxytocin [69.26(12.11)], p = 0.72.

**The Awareness of Social Inference Test** Analysis of TASIT-III revealed no main effect of group [β = −0.04, SE = 0.13, p = 0.744]. There was a main effect of drug [β = 0.13, SE = 0.07, p = 0.049] with oxytocin decreasing TASIT-III performance (Table 3). There was a trend for a group by drug interaction [β = 0.23, SE = 0.14, p = 0.092]. Although both groups performed significantly worse on oxytocin than on placebo, this trend was more pronounced for healthy controls (80 % accuracy on placebo, 72 % on oxytocin) than for patients (76 % on placebo, 72 % on oxytocin). There was a main effect of inference type across groups, such that participants identified white lies significantly more accurately than sarcasm [β = 0.25, SE = 0.10, p = 0.015]. There were no drug by inference [β = 0.13, SE = 0.08, p = 0.132] or group by inference [β = 0.08, SE = 0.15, p = 0.606] interactions.

Additional analyses

Chi-squared tests revealed that both patients and healthy controls were at chance level when guessing whether they
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**Fig. 2** Mean heroin craving at five study time points (patients only). Craving level is percentage of 10 cm visual analog scale. The video cue significantly increased cravings for both oxytocin and placebo test days. Oxytocin had no significant effects on craving at any time point. Error bars indicate standard error.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time Point</th>
<th>Placebo</th>
<th>Oxytocin</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Before Spray</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre Video</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post Video</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Min After Video</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>End of Study</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 3** GEE analysis for TASIT scores

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>GEE analysis for TASIT</th>
<th>β</th>
<th>SE</th>
<th>p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(Intercept)</td>
<td>0.825</td>
<td>0.0891</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group</td>
<td>−0.043</td>
<td>0.1304</td>
<td>0.744</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug</td>
<td>0.133</td>
<td>0.0678</td>
<td>0.049*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inference</td>
<td>0.249</td>
<td>0.1027</td>
<td>0.015*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group × drug</td>
<td>0.228</td>
<td>0.1351</td>
<td>0.092</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group × inference</td>
<td>0.075</td>
<td>0.1463</td>
<td>0.606</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug × inference</td>
<td>0.126</td>
<td>0.0834</td>
<td>0.132</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

There was an overall drug effect such that oxytocin significantly decreased accuracy on TASIT across both groups. There was also an inference effect such that both groups identified lie items significantly more accurately than sarcasm items

*p<0.05
received oxytocin or placebo on a given test day [patients: 48.5% accuracy, \( \chi^2(1, N=66) = 0.030, p=0.86 \); healthy controls: 45.5% accuracy, \( \chi^2(1, N=66) = 0.12, p=0.73 \)]. We also examined whether age correlated with performance on our behavior tasks for both patients and healthy controls. As age was not correlated with outcomes for either group, age was excluded from all analyses.

**Discussion**

A single 40 IU dose of intranasal oxytocin is tolerable when administered to patients receiving ORT for opioid use disorder. We did not detect any effects of oxytocin on opioid craving or drug-related implicit association. Patients did not show a significant implicit association with heroin cues on the placebo day, limiting our ability to detect any oxytocin-induced changes. Additionally, we found that oxytocin may paradoxically worsen performance on measures of social perceptual ability in patients receiving ORT.

Participants reported no difference in opioid agonist or withdrawal symptoms on the OAWS, which is reassuring regarding the tolerability of oxytocin in patients receiving ORT. However, patients did report more “bad effects” and feeling more “high” on the VASD after receiving oxytocin. Based on the lack of changes in the OAWS, which specifically identifies opioid withdrawal symptoms, this is unlikely to be a sign of reduction in opioid tolerance. It may be related to differences in tobacco use, age, or education between the two groups or some unmeasured factor. Participants guessed based on chance when asked if they had received oxytocin or placebo, which further suggests that there were no detectable subjective effects of oxytocin and is consistent with prior literature (MacDonald et al. 2011). Most importantly, patients did not report experiencing symptoms of opioid withdrawal after receiving a single dose of oxytocin, which was a concern based on preclinical studies of rodents (Sarnyai and Kovács 1994). This is reassuring given that such a reduction in opioid tolerance could lead to dangerous levels of opioid toxicity in patients receiving daily ORT. Because we only used a single dose of oxytocin and measured tolerability at only two time points (baseline and 90 min post-administration), we are limited in our assessment of tolerability. Nonetheless, this is a first step toward assessing the safety of oxytocin administration to patients receiving ORT. Future studies should include repeated oxytocin dosing in this population as well as frequent physiological measurements of safety related to opioid toxicity (e.g., respiration rate or oxygen saturation).

Oxytocin had no obvious effects on the addiction-related measures used in our study. Previous studies of intranasal oxytocin’s effects in substance use disorders demonstrated a reduction in alcohol withdrawal and craving (Pedersen et al. 2011, 2013), a reduction in stress-induced marijuana craving (McRae-Clark et al. 2013), and mitigation of the effect of state anger on cocaine cue-reactivity (Lee et al. 2014) in dependent individuals. Given that McRae-Clark et al. (2013) found a significant effect of oxytocin on marijuana craving following a reliable social stressor, the participants in Pedersen et al.’s (2011) study were undergoing acute alcohol withdrawal, and Lee et al. (2014) found no effect on cue-reactivity when anger was not factored in, it is possible that intranasal oxytocin primarily reduces acute stress-induced craving. If so, the cue-induced craving paradigm used in the current study may not be sensitive to oxytocin-related effects. Given that the evidence reviewed by Bartz et al. (2011) indicates that there are substantial individual differences in oxytocin response, it is possible that trait-level individual differences may mask a significant drug effect in some subpopulations when analyzing aggregate data. If so, future analyses and clinical trials might assess trait-level sources of oxytocin response variability. On the other hand, another way to interpret the current null findings is that intranasal oxytocin may not be a viable treatment for opioid use disorder in humans.

Despite a growing body of preclinical evidence demonstrating oxytocin’s effects on opioid tolerance, withdrawal, and self-administration in rodents (Sarnyai and Kovács 2014), no studies have previously investigated oxytocin’s effects in humans with opioid use disorder. There are many factors to consider when translating preclinical research to clinical populations. The relative complexity of human neurobiology and behavior compared to animal models likely limits translatability. Our pilot study was small and limited in its generalizability to males with a history of intravenous heroin use currently receiving ORT. Moreover, knowledge of optimal oxytocin drug delivery and dosing for humans remains unknown. Studies of the effects of repeated oxytocin dosing on the symptoms of schizophrenia often required several weeks before symptom reduction was observed (Feifel et al. 2010; Pedersen et al. 2011; Modabbernia et al. 2013). Given strong preclinical evidence for oxytocin’s effects on opioid use disorders and the preliminary nature of our study, future studies of oxytocin administration in this clinical population are warranted and should include dose-tiered and repeated-dosing protocols.

Patients’ significantly lower scores on the RMET compared to healthy controls verified that our study participants experienced social deficits consistent with existing knowledge of populations with substance use disorders. Our finding of an oxytocin-induced reduction in overall RMET score is consistent with Lee et al. (2014), who demonstrated worsened social cognition in participants with a history of cocaine use disorder after receiving oxytocin. On the other hand, we did not replicate the oxytocin-induced enhancement of RMET performance demonstrated by Domes et al. (2007), even when questions were divided by difficulty (Domes showed a particular enhancement with oxytocin on difficult compared with easy questions). Several factors may have contributed to this, including differing dosages of intranasal oxytocin (40 versus 24 IU) and different versions of the RMET (28-item child version versus 36-item...
standard. However, others, including our group (Woolley et al. 2014), have also failed to replicate this finding in healthy populations (Radke and de Bruin 2015). Additionally, our healthy control participants demonstrated worse performance on TASIT-III after receiving oxytocin. This is partly consistent with our previous study, in which we saw oxytocin-induced worse performance on aspects of TASIT-III in healthy participants (Woolley et al. 2014). Future studies should continue to explore oxytocin’s complex effects on social perception in patients with substance use disorders.

While our single-dose study of oxytocin’s effects on the symptoms of opioid use disorder did not find any effects on opioid craving or implicit association, the safety and tolerability of single-dose intranasal oxytocin demonstrated in our study of patients receiving ORT paves the way for studies examining tiered and repeated dosing in this population. Given the risk for the “file drawer” problem of publication bias, it is important to report clinical trials that do not find an effect of a pharmacological agent. Further research is warranted to determine oxytocin’s effects in opioid use disorder.
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